G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 206/19

1919-20

(CB.)

Serial Nos. 142

Later references.

CB 96-22-1

CB 108-17-142

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 142.

Part B for May 1920.

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. Dr. S. Ramachandra Rao, Asst. Secy., Economic Development Board for retrospective effect being given to the recent order making him provisionally permanent in the Civil Service Cadre.

No. 1689/CB.206-19-2 Dated 14th May 1920

To The Secy. to Govt.
Deptt. of Edn & Agr.

States that Govt regret that Mr. Ramachandra Rao's request for his confirmation as an Asst. Commr ~~of Labour~~ from 17th Jany 1920 instead of 9th Feb. 1920 cannot be granted.

10/6/20.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

(1

G. R. S. III—1] 191 9-20.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(C.B.)

NOTES.

File No. 206-19

FILE HEADING.

Represntation of Mr. M.S.Ramachandra Rao, Assistant Secretary, Economic Development Board for retrospective effect being given to the recent order making him provisionally permanent in the Civil Service cadre.

From

The Secretary, Economic ~~Conference~~ Development Bd

No.	Dated	10th March 1920	Received 15/3/1920
Diary No. 961	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards a memo from Mr. Ramachandra Rao requesting under the circumstances represented that the recent order making him provisionally permanent may be given effect to at least from 17th January 1920 (if not from an earlier date) the date on which the last batch of Probationary Assistant Commissioners are eligible for confirmation.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The file C.B. 140-19 re. recent appointments to the Civil Service by competition and nomination is put up. The order appointing five Probationary Assistant Commissioners by competition and five by nomination was issued ~~from~~ on the 19th January 1920. The order appointing the eight Sub protem Assistant

NOTES—First Sheet.

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B. File No. 206 of 191 9-20.

Serial No.

Commissioners (including Mr. M.S.Ramachandra Rao) as provisionally permanent would have also issued from the same date. But for want of information about the vacancies etc., the question had to be deferred for about a fortnight and the order was issued from the 9th February 1920.

2. Mr. Ramachandra Rao requests that the order appointing him as Assistant Commissioner provisionally permanent may be given ~~retrospective~~ effect from the 17th January 1920 so that the twelve Probationary Assistant Commissioners appointed in 1918* and who are eligible for confirmation in January 1920 (18th & 21st respectively) may take rank below him.

* with effect from 18th & 21st Jan 1918

3. Mr. Ramachandra Rao's request, if granted, will be followed by Similar representations from the other Assistant Commissioners appointed along with him and their requests will also have to be sanctioned. This will create a very inconvenient situation because these eight Assistant Commissioners appointed during the current year will go over the twelve Probationary Assistant Commissioners appointed in 1918, and the latter (twelve Assistant Commissioners) will surely approach Government for getting their ranks restored.

(4) Submitted for orders. with References.

hm 30/4/20

4 It seems to me that if at all Mr Ramachandra Rao can

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 206 of 1919-20

Serial No.

only ask that his appointment & of other officers from subordinate ranks promoted as ass^ts comm^rs might be ~~appointed~~ noticed with effect from the 19th Jan^y 1920 — the same date as the date of appointment of the men recruited by competition & nomination — instead of from the 9th Feb^y 1920. The difference of a few days was due to the reasons explained in para 10 of the note & the alteration may not much matter. But it will not help Mr Ramachandra Rao so far as relative rank goes.

5 It may be mentioned that there was a short delay in 1918 in regard to the appointment of the men recruited by nomination as one of the names was not finally decided upon for a few days. On the reasons urged by Mr Rama-chandra Rao, the officers nominated in 1918 from the 21st Jan^y 1918 will also ask must be entitled to count time from the 18th Jan^y 1918 when the men recruited by competition were appointed. His batch of officers includes Mr D. Subbanayakka

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(4

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 206 of 1919-20.

Serial No.

& not Abdul Hakk who will certainly have a legitimate ground of complaint if they are superseded by about a dozen officers appointed in 1920, just a day or few before they finished their period of probation.

6 Thus if the officers appointed by nomination on 21-1-18 are allowed to count from 18-1-18, & if all the probationers (by competition & nomination) recruited in 1918 are confirmed from 18-1-20 & if the date of appointment of Mr Ramachandra Rao & his batch is altered from 9 Feb[y] 20 to 19th Jan[y] 1920, the former set of officers will continue to be senior to the latter.

7 On the whole I do not think any good case is made out for any change.

Dewan

CBB 4/5/20

8) Ys. I think so Co

7.5-20

9) Draft subt

HM 10/5/1920 Chief Secy

10) Issue CBB 13/5

MC 13/5/20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 5 1920
Reg. No. 7479

1PBB 10/5/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(5

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 206 of 1919.

Serial No. 10

To

The Secy. to Govt.

Depts. of Edn. & Agr.

Rc. 1689/CB.206-19-2 Dy 16th May 1920

States that Govt. regret that Mr. Ramachandra Rao's request for his confirmation as Asst. Commr. from 17th Jany. 1920 instead of a later date cannot be granted.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

CB 206-19-1.

CB. 9616

SUBMITTED TO SECRETARY, Edn. & Agri.

I beg to submit the following representation in regard to my relative rank in the list of Assistant Commissioners and request you to kindly lend my humble case your usual and valuable support and to obtain the favourable orders of Government on the representation.

2. While feeling deeply grateful to Government for confirming me in the Assistant Commissioners' grade this year I respectfully beg to bring to their kind notice that the order confirming me only with effect from the 9th February 1920 places me at considerable disadvantage as regards my future rank in the list of Assistant Commissioners.

3. According to the rules in force till very recently Probationary Assistant Commissioners used to be confirmed only on the expiry of the period of probation if fully qualified on that date failing which their confirmation used to have effect from the date on which they fully qualified themselves. This did not interfere with the seniority and rank of such of the officers as were promoted to the graded service, more especially as it has been the invariable rule hitherto to place such officers above the previous batch of Probationary Assistant Commissioners.

Can not be Accepted

4. Under the revised rules, however, such of the last batch of the Probationary Assistant Commissioners (who were appointed in January 1918) as are already qualified will not only be entitled to be confirmed but those that have not yet qualified themselves are given the additional advantage of passing the obligatory tests in May 1920 and yet claim to be confirmed with retrospective effect from 17th January 1920 i.e., 2 years from the date of their original appointment. This would result in most of these Probationers being placed above me in the list.

17th is not correct. They were appointed on 19-1-18 & Solemnized on 21-1-18 & they took the oath of office on 17-1-20

5. This in itself will not seriously affect most of the officers that have been confirmed this year. But Government will kindly observe that my case is peculiar as I have still a little more than 20 years of service before me and the placing of even a few younger men above me now would prejudice my interests. - -

(7

throughout my future career in service.

6. Having regard therefore to the above and further taking into consideration that, before being confirmed, I was already - acting as an Assistant Commissioner for more than 5 years, I earnestly beg Government to see their way to give effect to their recent order confirming me, if not from an earlier date, at least from the 17th January 1920 the date on which the last batch of Probationers are entitled to confirmation.

7. In putting forward this prayer I am not asking for anything more than that the order of my confirmation may be given retrospective effect by about 20 days and I trust my prayer will meet with the favourable consideration of Government.

M S Ramachandra Rao
Asst Secy, Eco. & Bd

10th March 1920.

Forwarded with compliments to the Chief Secretary -

The Revenue Member seems to me to have a fine field for service - He is an officer of unusual capacity and experience and come up to the standard of the Posts recruited by competition has been short - His case deserves special consideration

10.3.20

Secretary to Govt